RFP Scorecard

Please complete the scorecard below. All sub-criteria will be scored on a scale from 1 to 5 with 1 being poor, 2 being fair, 3 being good, 4 being good and 5 being excellent. If you have any questions, please refer to the reviewer's instruction sheet.

1)	Regional Medical Library	 SEA NER PSR GMR PNR MAR SCR MCR
2)	Title of Project or Proposal	
3)	Institution	
4)	Reviewer's Initials	
	Significance (15 points maximum)	
5)	Application clearly explains the need for the project including demographic information about the target population or geographic area. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
6)	Applicant effectively uses data (e.g., statistics, anecdotes, needs assessment) to demonstrate the project need. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
7)	Application contributes to NNLM goals and objectives. See NNLM goals and objectives. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
	Methodology/Approach (40 points maximum)	
8)	Application proposes an approach that is suitable for the target population and geographic area and includes data to support this. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent

REDCap°

9)	Application clearly outlines activities, milestones, and methods that are feasible and relevant to the project. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
10)	Application provides a rationale for selecting the proposed approach(es). (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
11)	Application demonstrates the ability to execute the project within the proposed timeline. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
12)	Application demonstrates appropriate use of NLM materials and products, if available. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
13)	Application is creative, original, or demonstrates the potential to serve as a model for a similar NNLM project. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
14)	Application provides a long-term plan to sustain and continue the project, sustain one or more key components, or incorporate lessons learned into future projects. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
15)	Application provides an effective and/or creative plan to promote the project. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
	Evaluation (15 points maximum)	
16)	Evaluation plan is well aligned with the project goals and objectives (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
17)	Evaluation plan clearly indicates how to measure success and project outcomes. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent

₹EDCap°

18)	Evaluation clearly explains types of data that would be collected, who will collect the data, methods of data collection (instruments/ tools and frequency of data collection) and analysis, and how project findings will be shared and used. (5 points)	 ○ Poor ○ Fair ○ Good ○ Very Good ○ Excellent (Plans with only 1 component should be scored as poor, 2 components as fair, 3 components as good, 4 components as very good, and all 5 components as excellent.)
	Project Staff (15 points maximum)	
19)	Application clearly explains qualifications (expertise and experience) of the project lead and the project staff. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
20)	Application clearly identifies and outlines roles and time commitment of the project lead, project staff, (and project partners, if needed). (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
21)	Application provides information on institutional resources (e.g. equipment) and support to be utilized for the project. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
	Budget (10 points maximum)	
22)	Proposed budget is appropriate with a budget narrative that justifies expenses. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
23)	Proposed budget includes only expenses that are allowable under the Regional Medical Library or Office and NIH regulations. See NIH Regulations. (5 points)	○ Poor○ Fair○ Good○ Very Good○ Excellent
	Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (5 points maximum	
24)	 Application identifies inequities and disparities and suggests appropriate approaches to enhance diversity, equity, and inclusion *OR* The target population of the project is underrepresented in biomedical research (UBR). See definitions of UBR populations. 	○ No ○ Yes



	Summary and Recommendation for Funding		
25)	Summary of Primary Strengths		
		(This information will be shared with the applicant)	
26)	Summary of Primary Weaknesses		
		(This information will be shared with the applicant)	
27)	Other Comments		
28)	Total Score		
29)	Final Recommendation for Funding	 Application does not fit in the NNLM mission. The project will not advance the goals of NNLM. Limited impact or there are concerns about the proposed plan or quality. Has strong potential. Excellent Application. Will have major impact on NNLM goals. 	

